AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09) Case~1:17 - Cr-00033 - PLM ECF No. 12 filed 02/03/17 PageID.22 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DE	TENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. Robert Mark Jenkins	Case No. 1:17-cr	-00033-PLM	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re efendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(	f), I conclude that these facts require	
		indings of Fact		
(1)	te defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had isted – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense liste	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescr	ribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable star		prior federal offenses described in 18	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	nvolves:		
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearn a failure to register under 18 U.S.		other dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on r	elease pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction	defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defenda			
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		·*	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance			
		ve Findings (B)	,	
<b>√</b> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	ger the safety of another pers	son or the community.	
		f the Reasons for Detentior		
	find that the testimony and information submitted at t a preponderance of the evidence that:	he detention hearing establis	hes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing	
	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to condant may bring the issue of his continuing detention		d his circumstances change.	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 3, 2017	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	